

Assessments in higher education: insights from medical education

CONTINGEN C Meeting, Göttingen, 1.2.2018

Prof. Dr. med. T. Raupach, MME University Medical Centre Göttingen University College London

Presentation outline

- Context: undergraduate medical education
- Assessment formats
- Assessment consequences
- Test-enhanced learning

Undergraduate medical education in Germany

- Two types of medical curricula in Germany
 - ,traditional curriculum'
 - ,model curriculum'
- 6 years in total; final year: electives
- High stakes examinations:
 - MCQ exams after year 2 (traditional only) and 5
 - Vivas after year 2 (traditional only) and 6

High-stakes examinations in medicine

In Germany, MCQs and vivas are the mainstay of high-stakes examinations in traditional medical curricula.

"In-house" assessments

- MCQs
- Type X questions
- PickN questions
- Key Feature questions
- Short answer questions
 - Short essays
 - OSCEs

Type X and PickN

- 'recognition tests'
- A recent analysis of questions used in one clinical curriculum showed that item quality may be suboptimal.
- Scoring is difficult as a huge number of different scoring algorithms is available, yielding anything from an A to a D grade for the same set of answers provided by the same set of students.
- Reliability & validity?

Key features

- Coming up with a diagnosis or suggesting an adequate treatment for a given condition is a **complex cognitive skill**.
- This skill cannot be assessed by means of multiple choice questions.
- Diagnostic and therapeutic decisions represent key features of patient management.
- Key feature questions can be used to assess students' abilities to take those decisions.

Page & Bordage, Acad Med 1995; 70: 104-110 Hrynchak et al. Med Educ 2014; 48: 870-883

Definitions

- Summative assessments are graded; students can pass or fail them. In medicine, they allow an overall judgment about competence, fitness to practice, or qualification for advancement to higher levels of responsibility.
- Formative assessments are usually not graded, and students cannot fail them. One of their functions is to provide students with feedback in order for them to identify potential areas of improvement. -

Epstein, NEJM 2007; 356: 387-396



To teach or to assess...

- Using a 2x3 design, we demonstrated that teaching intensity had a much weaker effect than exam consequences on student learning behaviour.
- In a logistic analysis adjusted for various potential confounders, exam consequences were the only predictors of student performance.

Testing Effect

- While summative assessments impact on learning *behaviour*, exams might also have the potential to enhance learning *processes*.
- According to the retrieval hypothesis, the act of retrieving information from memory changes the mnemonic representation underlying retrieval – and enhances later retention of the tested information.
- This phenomenon is also known as the 'direct testing effect'.

Roediger & Karpicke, Perspect Psychol Sci 2006; 1: 181-210

Testing Effect

Test-enhanced learning of clinical reasoning: a crossover randomised trial

Tobias Raupach. 1,2 Jil C
 Andresen, 1 Katharina Meyer, 1 Lisa Strobel,
 1 Michael Koziolek, 3 Wolfram Jung.
 4 Jamie Brown 2 & Sven Anders 5

- In this prospective, randomised cross-over trial, repeated testing compared to repeated study resulted in superior performance regarding clinical reasoning.
- Results were stable over a period of 6 months.

Raupach et al. Med Educ 2016; 50: 711-720

Summary

- Assessments are major drivers of student learning.
- Care must be taken to ensure alignment between learning objectives, instructional formats and assessment tools.
- Questions in summative exams must be of high quality; scoring algorithms need to be valid and fair.
- Formative exams enhance student learning.
- In a test-enhanced learning paradigm, case-based production tests should be used to foster higher-order cognitive skills.

Contact

T. Raupach, MME Consultant Cardiologist Teaching Co-ordinator Clinic for Cardiology & Pneumology University Medical Centre Göttingen **Phone:** ++49-551-398922 **Email:** raupach@med.uni-goettingen.de